arXiv:1404.0949v1 [gr-qc] 22 Mar 2014

Extremal Myers-Perry black holes in Born-Infeld-dilaton theory

Masoud Allaverdizadeh[1*], Seyed H. Hendi [2,3†], Ahmad Sheykhi[2,3‡]

[1] *Centro Multidisciplinar de Astrofísica - CENTRA,*
Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico - IST,
Universidade Técnica de Lisboa - Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal.

[2] *Physics Department and Biruni Observatory,*
College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran

[3] *Center for Excellence in Astronomy and Astrophysics*
(CEAA-RIAAM), Maragha P. O. Box 55134-441, Iran

Abstract

A class of perturbative charged rotating dilaton black hole solutions coupled to the nonlinear Born-Infeld electrodynamics is presented. The strategy for obtaining these solutions is to start from Myers-Perry solutions, and then considering the effects of the dilaton field, Born-Infeld parameter as well as the electric charge as a perturbative parameter. We perform the perturbations up to 4th order in the extremal black holes of Einstein-Born-Infeld-dilaton gravity with equal angular momentum and arbitrary dilaton coupling constant. We discuss the physical properties of these black holes and study their dependence on the perturbative parameter q, the dilaton coupling constant α and the Born-Infeld parameter β. One can see these black holes solutions satisfy a generalized Smarr relation.

* masoud.alahverdi@gmail.com
† hendi@shirazu.ac.ir
‡ asheykhi@shirazu.ac.ir

I. INTRODUCTION

A scalar field called the dilaton appears in the low energy limit of string theory. The presence of the dilaton field has important consequences on the causal structure, asymptotic behavior and thermodynamic properties of the black holes. Thus much interest has been focused on the study of the dilaton black holes in recent years. Exact solutions for charged dilaton black holes in which the dilaton is coupled to the Maxwell field have been constructed by many authors. The asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton (EMD) solutions with spherical horizon have been investigated in [1]. They have shown that the physical properties of the black holes depend sensitively on the value of the dilaton coupling constant. Solutions of EMD theory with one Liouville-type potential which are neither asymptotically flat nor anti-de Sitter (AdS) have been studied in [2, 3]. It was shown that with an appropriate combination of three Liouville-type potentials one can derive exact solutions in EMD theory [4, 5]. In addition, investigation of a class of higher dimensional topological charged dilatonic black holes in the background of the (AdS) universe and their thermodynamic properties has been presented in [6].

Besides, recently more interest has been focused on the gravity theories with Born-Infeld (BI) action [7] due to its several remarkable features [8–12]. For example, BI type effective actions, which arise naturally in open super strings and D branes are free from physical singularities. Exact solutions for charged static BI black holes have been considered by many authors [13]. However, finding rotating BI black holes solutions in higher dimensions is a difficult task due to the size and complexity of the equations. For these reasons the number of rotating BI solutions known analytically form is very limited [14, 15] and as far as authors know general solutions of charged rotating EBId black holes have not been studied so far. This forces us to use alternative technique to study these black holes. Employing higher order perturbation theory, odd dimensional charged rotating black holes in Einstein-Maxwell [16] and Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory [17] have been studied. Recently, we have used the perturbative method to study the extremal Einstein BI black holes [18]. In this paper, we extend our previous study to dilaton gravity. Following the approach we developed in [18], we find charged rotating extremal BI black holes solutions coupled to a scalar dilaton field. Again, we focus on black holes at extremality. Starting from the Myers-Perry black holes, we evaluate the perturbative series up to 4th order in the charge for black holes in 5 dimensions. We determine the physical properties of these black holes for general dilaton coupling constant. In particular, we investigate the effects of the presence of the dilaton field, BI parameter, and the perturbative charge parameter, on the gyromagnetic ratio of these rotating black holes.

The remainder of this paper is outlined as follows. In section II, we present the basic field equations of nonlinear BI theory in dilaton gravity and obtain a new class of perturbative charged rotating solutions in five dimensions. In section III, we calculate the physical quantities of the solutions such as mass, angular momentum, dilaton charge, electric charge and the gyromagnetic ratio. The last section is devoted to summary and conclusions.

II. METRIC AND GAUGE POTENTIAL

We examine the 5-dimensional action in which gravity is coupled to dilaton and BI fields

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\left(R-\frac{4}{3}(\nabla\Phi)^2 + L(F,\Phi)\right), \tag{1}$$

where R is scalar curvature, Φ is the dilaton field and the last term $L(F,\Phi)$ of the action is given by

$$L(F,\Phi) = 4\beta^2 e^{(\frac{4\alpha\Phi}{3})}\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{e^{(\frac{-8\alpha\Phi}{3})}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}}{2\beta^2}}\right). \tag{2}$$

Here, α is a constant determining the strength of coupling of the scalar and electromagnetic fields, where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic field tensor, A_μ is the electromagnetic vector potential, and β is the BI parameter with units of mass. In the limit $\beta \to \infty$, $L(F,\Phi)$ reduces to the Lagrangian of the standard Maxwell field coupled to a dilaton field

$$L(F,\Phi) = -e^{(\frac{-4\alpha\Phi}{3})}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}, \tag{3}$$

and on the other hand, $L(F,\Phi) \to 0$ as $\beta \to 0$. It is convenient to set

$$L(F,\Phi) = 4\beta^2 e^{(\frac{4\alpha\Phi}{3})}L(Y), \tag{4}$$

where

$$L(Y) = 1-\sqrt{1+Y}, \tag{5}$$

$$Y = \frac{e^{\frac{-8\alpha\Phi}{3}}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}}{2\beta^2}. \tag{6}$$

The equations of motion can be obtained by varying the action with respect to the gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}$, the dilaton field Φ and the gauge field A_μ which yield the following field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{3}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi - 4e^{\frac{-4\alpha\Phi}{3}}\partial_Y L(Y)F_{\mu\eta}F_\nu{}^\eta + \frac{4\beta^2}{3}e^{\frac{4\alpha\Phi}{3}}[2Y\partial_Y L(Y) - L(Y)]g_{\mu\nu}, \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla^2\Phi = 2\alpha\beta^2 e^{\frac{4\alpha\Phi}{3}}[2Y\partial_Y L(Y) - L(Y)], \tag{8}$$

$$\partial_\mu\Big(\sqrt{-g}e^{\frac{-4\alpha\Phi}{3}}\partial_Y L(Y)F^{\mu\nu}\Big) = 0. \tag{9}$$

We would like to find perturbative solutions of the above field equations. Our seed solution is the the 5-dimensional MP solution [21] restricted to the case where two possible angular momenta have equal magnitude. Using coordinates $(t, r, \theta, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, we then employ the following parametrization for the metric [23]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 \;=\; & g_{tt}dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{W} + r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi_1^2 + \cos^2\theta d\varphi_2^2\right) + N\left(\varepsilon_1\sin^2\theta d\varphi_1 + \varepsilon_2\cos^2\theta d\varphi_2\right)^2 \\ & -2B\left(\varepsilon_1\sin^2\theta d\varphi_1 + \varepsilon_2\cos^2\theta d\varphi_2\right)dt, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where ε_k denotes the sense of rotation in the k-th orthogonal plane of rotation, such that $\varepsilon_k = \pm 1$, $k = 1, 2$. An adequate parametrization for the gauge potential is given by

$$A_\mu dx^\mu \;=\; a_0 + a_\varphi\left(\varepsilon_1\sin^2\theta d\varphi_1 + \varepsilon_2\cos^2\theta d\varphi_2\right). \tag{11}$$

We further assume the metric functions g_{tt}, W, N, B, the two functions a_0, a_φ for the gauge field, and the dilaton function Φ depend only on the radial coordinate r.

We now consider perturbations around the MP solution, with an electric charge q as the perturbative parameter. In the presence of the BI and dilaton fields, we obtain the perturbation series in the general form

$$g_{tt} = -1 + \frac{2\hat{M}}{r^2} + q^2 g_{tt}^{(2)} + q^4 g_{tt}^{(4)} + O(q^6), \tag{12}$$

$$W = 1 - \frac{2\hat{M}}{r^2} + \frac{2\hat{J}^2}{\hat{M}r^4} + q^2 W^{(2)} + q^4 W^{(4)} + O(q^6), \tag{13}$$

$$N = \frac{2\hat{J}^2}{\hat{M}r^2} + q^2 N^{(2)} + q^4 N^{(4)} + O(q^6), \tag{14}$$

$$B = \frac{2\hat{J}}{r^2} + q^2 B^{(2)} + q^4 B^{(4)} + O(q^6), \tag{15}$$

$$\Phi = q^2\Phi^{(2)} + q^4\Phi^{(4)} + O(q^6), \tag{16}$$

$$a_0 = q a_0^{(1)} + q^3 a_0^{(3)} + O(q^5), \tag{17}$$

$$a_\varphi = q a_\varphi^{(1)} + q^3 a_\varphi^{(3)} + O(q^5), \tag{18}$$

where $\hat{M}$ and $\hat{J}$ are the mass and angular momenta of the extremal MP solution, respectively. We now fix the angular momentum at any perturbative order, and impose the extremality condition in all orders. We also assume that the horizon is regular. With these assumptions, we are able to fix all constants of integration. To simplify the notation, we introduce a parameter ν through the equations

$$\hat{M} = 2\nu^2 \quad , \quad \hat{J} = 2\nu^3 \,, \tag{19}$$

such that the extremal MP solution in five dimensions holds. Then, we obtain for the metric and the dilaton field the perturbation expansion up to 5th order in the perturbative parameter q and for the gauge potential functions up to 4th order

$$\begin{aligned} g_{tt} \;=\; & -1 + \frac{4\nu^2}{r^2} + \frac{(r^2-4\nu^2)q^2}{3\nu^2 r^4} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2160}\,\frac{237 - 180\,\nu^2\beta^2\alpha^2 + 660\,\beta^2\nu^2}{\nu^8\beta^2 r^2} + \frac{11}{135}\,\frac{1}{\beta^2\nu^2 r^8} \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2160}\,\frac{480\,\nu^4\beta^2\alpha^2 - 1920\,\nu^4\beta^2 - 720\,\nu^2}{\nu^8\beta^2 r^4} + \frac{1}{2160}\,\frac{960\,\beta^2\nu^6 + 316\,\nu^4}{r^6\nu^8\beta^2} + \frac{58}{135}\,\frac{1}{\beta^2 r^{10}} \\ & \left. - \frac{16}{45}\,\frac{\nu^2}{\beta^2 r^{12}} + \left(\frac{1}{18\beta^2\nu^{10}} - \frac{\alpha^2-4}{27\nu^8}\right)\left(1-2\,\frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right)^2 \ln\left(1-2\,\frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right) \right\} q^4 + O(q^6), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W \;=\; & 1 - \frac{4\nu^2}{r^2} + \frac{4\nu^4}{r^4} - \frac{q^2(r^2-2\nu^2)}{3\nu^2 r^4} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2160}\,\frac{522 - 120\,\nu^2\beta^2\alpha^2 + 480\,\beta^2\nu^2}{\nu^{10}\beta^2} + \frac{44}{45}\,\frac{\nu^2}{\beta^2 r^{12}} \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2160}\,\frac{-620\,\nu^4\beta^2\alpha^2 + 2420\,\nu^4\beta^2 + 2607\,\nu^2}{\nu^{10}\beta^2 r^2} - \frac{1}{2160}\,\frac{680\,\nu^6\beta^2\alpha^2 - 3620\,\nu^6\beta^2 - 3840\,\nu^4}{\nu^{10}\beta^2 r^4} \\ & - \frac{1}{2160}\,\frac{400\,\nu^8\beta^2\alpha^2 + 1280\,\nu^8\beta^2 + 1032\,\nu^6}{\nu^{10}\beta^2 r^6} - \frac{1}{2160}\,\frac{-480\,\beta^2\alpha^2\nu^{10} + 432\,\nu^8}{\nu^{10} r^8\beta^2} - \frac{23}{45}\,\frac{1}{\beta^2 r^{10}} \\ & \left. - \frac{56}{45}\,\frac{\nu^4}{\beta^2 r^{14}} + \left(\frac{29}{240}\,\frac{1}{r^4\beta^2\nu^{12}} - \frac{\alpha^2-4}{36\nu^{10} r^4}\right)\left(-2\,\nu^2 + r^2\right)^3 \ln\left(1-2\,\frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right) \right\} q^4 + O(q^6), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N \;=\; & \frac{4\nu^4}{r^2} - \frac{2q^2(r^2+2\nu^2)}{3r^4} + \left\{ \frac{\left(60\,\nu^2\beta^2\alpha^2 - 240\,\beta^2\nu^2 - 261\right) r^2}{1080\nu^{10}\beta^2} + \frac{-60\,\nu^4\beta^2\alpha^2 + 240\,\nu^4\beta^2 + 261\,\nu^2}{1080\nu^{10}\beta^2} \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{1080}\,\frac{210\,\nu^6\beta^2 + 864\,\nu^4}{\nu^{10}\beta^2 r^2} + \frac{1}{1080}\,\frac{240\,\beta^2\nu^8\alpha^2 - 480\,\beta^2\nu^8 - 732\,\nu^6}{\nu^{10}\beta^2 r^4} + \frac{1}{1080}\,\frac{480\,\nu^{10}\beta^2 + 24\,\nu^8}{\nu^{10} r^6\beta^2} \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{135}\,\frac{1}{\beta^2 r^8} + \frac{52}{135}\,\frac{\nu^2}{r^{10}\beta^2} - \frac{16}{45}\,\frac{\nu^4}{\beta^2 r^{12}} \right\} q^4 + O(q^6), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$B \;=\; \frac{4\nu^3}{r^2} - \frac{4\nu}{3r^4} q^2 + \left\{ \frac{1}{540}\,\frac{15\,\nu^2\beta^2\alpha^2 - 60\,\beta^2\nu^2 - 108}{\nu^9\beta^2} + \frac{1}{540}\,\frac{-45\,\nu^4\beta^2\alpha^2 + 180\,\nu^4\beta^2 + 324\,\nu^2}{\nu^9\beta^2 r^2} \right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{540}\,\frac{-360\,\nu^6\beta^2+120\,\nu^6\beta^2\alpha^2-261\,\nu^4}{\nu^9\beta^2r^4}+\frac{1}{540}\,\frac{240\,\beta^2\nu^8+54\,\nu^6}{\nu^9\beta^2r^6}+\frac{2}{27}\,\frac{1}{\beta^2\nu\,r^8}+\frac{52}{135}\,\frac{\nu}{r^{10}\beta^2}$$
$$-\frac{16}{45}\,\frac{\nu^3}{\beta^2r^{12}}+(\frac{1}{1080}\,\frac{15\,\nu^2\beta^2\alpha^2-60\,\beta^2\nu^2-108}{\beta^2\nu^{11}}+\frac{1}{1080}\,\frac{120\,\nu^4\beta^2-30\,\nu^4\beta^2\alpha^2+216\,\nu^2}{\beta^2\nu^{11}r^2}$$
$$+\frac{1}{1080}\,\frac{-320\,\nu^6\beta^2+80\,\nu^6\beta^2\alpha^2-120\,\nu^4}{\nu^{11}\beta^2r^4})\left(-2\,\nu^2+r^2\right)\ln\left(1-2\,\frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right)\Bigg\}q^4+O(q^6), \qquad (23)$$

$$\Phi \;=\; -\frac{\alpha}{4r^2\nu^2}q^2+\Bigg\{\frac{1}{2880}\,\frac{\alpha\,\left(-117+120\,\beta^2\nu^2\alpha^2-360\,\beta^2\nu^2\right)}{\nu^8\beta^2r^2}$$
$$+\frac{1}{2880}\,\frac{\alpha\,\left(126\,\nu^2+120\,\nu^4\beta^2\right)}{\nu^8\beta^2r^4}+\frac{5}{144}\,\frac{\alpha}{r^6\nu^4\beta^2}+\frac{17}{360}\,\frac{\alpha}{r^8\beta^2\nu^2}+\frac{\alpha}{30\beta^2r^{10}}$$
$$+\frac{1}{144}\,\frac{\alpha\,\left(-3+2\,\beta^2\nu^2\alpha^2-8\,\beta^2\nu^2\right)\left(-2\,\nu^2+r^2\right)}{r^2\nu^{10}\beta^2}\ln\left(1-2\,\frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right)\Bigg\}q^4+O(q^6), \qquad (24)$$

$$a_0 \;=\; \frac{q}{r^2}+\Bigg\{-\frac{1}{180}\,\frac{-15+10\,\beta^2\nu^2\alpha^2-40\,\beta^2\nu^2}{\nu^6\beta^2r^2}-\frac{1}{180}\,\frac{40\,\nu^4\beta^2+20\,\nu^4\beta^2\alpha^2+15\,\nu^2}{\nu^6\beta^2r^4}-\frac{11}{180}\,\frac{1}{\beta^2\nu^2r^6}$$
$$-\frac{26}{45}\,\frac{1}{\beta^2r^8}+\frac{2\nu^2}{3\beta^2r^{10}}+\frac{\left(3-2\,\beta^2\nu^2\alpha^2+8\,\beta^2\nu^2\right)\left(-2\,\nu^2+r^2\right)}{72r^2\nu^8\beta^2}\ln\left(1-2\,\frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right)\Bigg\}q^3+O(q^5), \qquad (25)$$

$$a_\varphi \;=\; -\frac{\nu q}{r^2}+\Bigg\{\frac{1}{720}\,\frac{-30+20\,\beta^2\nu^2\alpha^2-80\,\beta^2\nu^2}{\nu^7\beta^2}+\frac{1}{720}\,\frac{40\,\nu^4\beta^2\alpha^2-40\,\nu^4\beta^2-27\,\nu^2}{\nu^7\beta^2r^2}$$
$$+\frac{1}{720}\,\frac{160\,\nu^6\beta^2+88\,\nu^4+80\,\nu^6\beta^2\alpha^2}{\nu^7\beta^2r^4}+\frac{7}{60}\,\frac{1}{\nu\,\beta^2r^6}+\frac{26}{45}\,\frac{\nu}{\beta^2r^8}-\frac{2\nu^3}{3\beta^2r^{10}}$$
$$+\frac{1}{144}\,\frac{\left(-3+2\,\beta^2\nu^2\alpha^2-8\,\beta^2\nu^2\right)\left(r^4-4\,\nu^4\right)}{r^2\beta^2\nu^9}\ln\left(1-2\,\frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right)\Bigg\}q^3+O(q^5) \qquad (26)$$

One may note that in Maxwell-dilaton's limit, $\beta \longrightarrow \infty$, these perturbative solutions reduce to the five dimensional perturbative charged rotating black holes in Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory [17]. A consistent check of this solution can be provided by Smarr's formula.

III. PHYSICAL QUANTITIES

In order to interpret our solutions as black holes, we should look for the event horizon. The event horizon of our rotating Born-Infeld-dilaton black holes is located at

$$r_H \;=\; \sqrt{2\nu}+\frac{\sqrt{2}q^2}{24\nu^3}+\frac{q^4\sqrt{2}\left(11-8\alpha^2\right)}{1152\nu^7}+O(q^6), \qquad (27)$$

One can see that the horizon radius does not depend on β at least up to fourth order in the charge, while the dilaton coupling constant α modifies the horizon radius. In the absence of a nontrivial

dilaton $\alpha = 0$, the horizon radius takes the value $r_H = \sqrt{2\nu} + \frac{\sqrt{2}q^2}{24\nu^3} + \frac{q^4\sqrt{2}11}{1152\nu^7} + O(q^6)$, exactly the result obtained for the five-dimensional perturbative Einstein-Maxwell-Born-Infeld black hole [18]. As shown in Fig. 1, [see also Eq. (27)] one can see for large α the horizon radius turns negative which is unacceptable and physically meaningless. We analyze this result below, when we comment on the mass. The mass M, the angular momenta J, the dilaton charge Σ, the electric charge Q, and the magnetic moment $\mu_{\rm mag}$ can be read off the asymptotic behavior of the metric and the gauge potential [22]. The asymptotic forms are,

$$g_{tt} = -1 + \frac{\tilde{M}}{r^2} + ..., \quad B = \frac{\tilde{J}}{r^2} + ..., \quad \Phi = \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}}{r^2} + ..., \quad a_0 = \frac{\tilde{Q}}{r^2} + ..., \quad a_\varphi = \frac{\tilde{\mu}_{\rm mag}}{r^2} + ..., \tag{28}$$

where

$$\tilde{M} = \frac{16\pi G_5}{3A}M, \quad \tilde{J} = \frac{8\pi G_5}{A}J, \quad \tilde{\Sigma} = \frac{4\pi G_5}{2A}\Sigma, \quad \tilde{Q} = \frac{4\pi G_5}{2A}Q, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{\rm mag} = \frac{4\pi G_5}{2A}\mu_{\rm mag}, \tag{29}$$

and A is the area of the unit 3-sphere. Comparing the above expansions to the asymptotic behavior of the solutions, Eqs. (20)-(26), we obtain

$$M = \frac{3\pi\nu^2}{2} + \frac{\pi q^2}{8\nu^2} + \frac{\pi q^4\left(20\nu^2\beta^2 - 20\nu^2\beta^2\alpha^2 - 3\right)}{5760\beta^2\nu^8} + O(q^6), \tag{30}$$

$$Q = \pi q, \tag{31}$$

$$J = \pi\nu^3, \tag{32}$$

$$\Sigma = -\frac{\pi\,\alpha\,q^2}{4\nu^2} + \frac{1}{2880}\,\frac{\pi\,\alpha\,q^4\left(40\,\beta^2\alpha^2\nu^2 - 40\,\nu^2\beta^2 + 3\right)}{\beta^2\nu^8} + O(q^6), \tag{33}$$

$$\mu_{\rm mag} = \pi\nu q - \frac{\pi q^3\left(40\nu^2\beta^2 + 20\nu^2\beta^2\alpha^2 + 3\right)}{720\nu^5\beta^2} + O(q^5), \tag{34}$$

The gyromagnetic ratio g is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{2M\mu_{\rm mag}}{QJ} \\ &= 3 - \frac{q^2\left(20\,\beta^2\alpha^2\nu^2 - 20\,\nu^2\beta^2 + 3\right)}{240\beta^2\nu^6} - \frac{q^4\left(20\,\beta^2\alpha^2\nu^2 + 10\,\nu^2\beta^2 + 3\right)}{1440\nu^{10}\beta^2} + O(q^6), \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

It was shown that the dilaton [17] modifies the gyromagnetic ratio of the perturbative solutions of asymptotically flat charged rotating dilaton black holes. In the absence of dilaton field, it was also shown that the BI parameter modifies the gyromagnetic ratio of these perturbative solutions [18].



FIG. 1: The horizon radius r_H versus dilaton coupling constant α for $\nu = 1.16$ and $q = 0.09$.

Here, we study the effect of the dilaton coupling as well as the BI parameters on the gyromagnetic ratio of our solutions simultaneously.

It is shown the behavior of the mass M, the magnetic moment $\mu_{\rm mag}$ and the gyromagnetic ratio g of these EBId black holes versus β in Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 respectively. From these figures we find out that the mass M, the magnetic moment $\mu_{\rm mag}$ and the gyromagnetic ratio g increase with increasing β. We see that for some low value of β the gyromagnetic ratio and magnetic moment $\mu_{\rm mag}$ is zero, and then turn negative. One can speculate that this change of sign comes from the differences of the charge distribution for different value of the Born-Infeld parameter β (see [18]). In addition these figures show that for a fixed value of β, the mass, magnetic moment and gyromagnetic ratio increase with decreasing α. This is in agreement with the arguments in [17]. In the absence of a nontrivial dilaton $\alpha = 0$, the mass, the magnetic moment and the gyromagnetic ratio reduce to

$$M = \frac{3}{2}\pi\,\nu^2 + \frac{\pi\,q^2}{8\nu^2} - \frac{1}{5760}\,\frac{\pi q^4\,\left(-20\,\nu^2\beta^2+3\right)}{\beta^2\nu^8} + O(q^6), \tag{36}$$

$$\mu_{\rm mag} = \pi\,\nu\,q - \frac{1}{720}\,\frac{\pi\,q^3\left(40\,\nu^2\beta^2+3\right)}{\nu^5\beta^2} + O(q^5), \tag{37}$$

$$g = 3 - \frac{1}{240}\,\frac{q^2\left(3-20\,\nu^2\beta^2\right)}{\beta^2\nu^6} - \frac{1}{1440}\,\frac{q^4\left(3+10\,\nu^2\beta^2\right)}{\nu^{10}\beta^2} + O(q^6), \tag{38}$$

which are exactly the result obtained for the five-dimensional perturbative Einstein BI black hole [18]. The mass M of the black holes as a function of the Born-Infeld parameter β and the dilaton coupling constant α exhibits interesting behavior, as shown in Fig. 2, [see also Eq. (30)]. For large α the mass is negative. We do not attach any significance to this result since the value of α for



FIG. 2: The mass M versus BI parameter β for $\nu = 1.16$ and $q = 0.09$. $\alpha = 1$ (bold line), $\alpha = 5000$ (continuous line), and $\alpha = 10000$ (dotted line).



FIG. 3: The magnetic moment $\mu_{\rm mag}$ versus β for $\nu = 1.16$ and $q = 0.09$. $\alpha = 1$ (bold line), $\alpha = 50$ (continuous line), and $\alpha = 100$ (dotted line).

which the mass is negative not acceptable as discussed before. On the other hand, for very small β the mass turns negative. We also do not attach any significance to this result since the value of β for which the mass is zero uses a perturbative q^2 term that is much larger than the zeroth-order term in the expressions for the magnetic moment and gyromagnetic ratio. In fact, the values of β for which the results make thorough sense are values of β larger than the ones which yield the zeros of the magnetic moment and gyromagnetic ratio. Define ξ as the timelike Killing vector and η_k,



FIG. 4: The gyromagnetic ratio g versus BI parameter β for $\nu = 1.16$ and $q = 0.09$. $\alpha = 1$ (bold line), $\alpha = 50$ (continuous line), and $\alpha = 100$ (dotted line).

$k = 1, 2$, as the two azimuthal Killing vectors. The two equal horizon constant angular velocities Ω can then be defined by imposing that the Killing vector field

$$\chi = \xi + \Omega \sum_{k=1}^{2} \epsilon_k \eta_k, \tag{39}$$

is null on the horizon and orthogonal to it as well. This yields,

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2\nu} - \frac{q^2}{24\nu^5} - \frac{q^4\left(5\nu^2\beta^2 - 5\nu^2\beta^2\alpha^2 - 1\right)}{1440\beta^2\nu^{11}} + O(q^6)\,. \tag{40}$$

The area of the horizon A_H and the electrostatic potential at the horizon Φ_H are given by

$$A_H = 8\pi^2\nu^3 + O(q^6)\,. \tag{41}$$

$$\Phi_H = \frac{q}{4\nu^2} - \frac{1}{1440}\,\frac{q^3\left(20\,\beta^2\alpha^2\nu^2 - 20\,\nu^2\beta^2 + 3\right)}{\beta^2\nu^8} + O(q^5)\,. \tag{42}$$

The surface gravity κ is defined by $\kappa^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\mu\chi_\nu)(\nabla^\mu\chi^\nu)$. Taking into account the conserved quantities obtained in this section, one can check that these quantities satisfy the Smarr mass formula up to 4th order

$$M = 3\Omega J + 2\Phi_H Q + \frac{\beta}{2}\frac{\partial M}{\partial\beta} + \frac{\Sigma}{\alpha}, \tag{43}$$

For four dimensional Born-Infeld black holes it has been defined a new thermodynamic quantity $\frac{\partial M}{\partial\beta}$ conjugate to the Born-Infeld parameter β, this quantity is required for consistency of the Smarr relation [19]. Recently, we have generalized this results for perturbative Einstein-Maxwell-Born-Infeld black holes in five dimensions [18]. Here, we see the effect of the dilaton as well as Born-Infeld parameter in the Smarr relation simultaneously Eq.(43).

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, we have presented a new class of perturbative charged rotating dilaton black hole solutions in five dimensions in the presence of nonlinear BI gauge field. Our investigations were restricted to the extremal black holes with equal angular momenta. We have used the perturbative method and solved the equations of motion up to the 4th order of the perturbative charge parameter q. We have started from the rotating MP [21] black hole solutions. Then, we have considered the effect of adding an amount of perturbative charge parameter q to the solutions in the presence of the dilaton and BI fields. We computed the physical quantities of the solutions such as the mass, the angular momentum, the dilaton charge, the electric charge and the magnetic moment. We have also obtained the gyromagnetic ratio of these rotating black holes. Interestingly enough, we found that the perturbative parameter q, the dilaton coupling constant α, and the BI parameter β, modify the values of the physical quantities. One can see that for a certain value of the dilaton coupling α, for small β the mass M is negative and it increases with increasing β while, in the linear Maxwell field regime it is always positive. We know that there is a critical value β_c in which for small values of $\beta < \beta_c$, a non-extreme horizon cover the black hole. (see [24] for more details). In addition, we found out that for constant α, the gyromagnetic ratio is negative for small β and increases with increasing β while, for large β it tends to a constant value. We computed the conserved quantities of the solutions and verified that these quantities satisfy the Smarr mass formula of the black holes.

Finally, we would like to mention that in this paper, we only studied the extremal perturbative charged rotating EBId black holes in five dimensions. The generalization of the present work to all higher dimensional case is now under investigation and will be addressed elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

M. Allaverdizadeh is supported by a FCT grant. S.H.H and A.S. thank Shiraz University Research Council. The works of S.H.H and A.S. have been supported financially by Center for Excellence in Astronomy and Astrophysics of IRAN (CEAAI-RIAAM).

---

[1] G. W. Gibbons, K. Maeda, Nucl. Phys. B298 (1988) 741;
D. Brill, G. Horowitz, Phys. Lett. B262 (1991) 437; D. Garfinkle, G. Horowitz, A. Strominger, Phys.

Rev. D 43 (1991) 3140;
R. Gregory, J. Harvey, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 2411.

[2] K. C. K. Chan, J. H. Horne, and R. B. Mann, Nucl. Phys. B447 (1995) 441.

[3] A. Sheykhi, M. H. Dehghani, N. Riazi, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 044020 (2007);
A. Sheykhi, M. H. Dehghani, N. Riazi and J. Pakravan Phys. Rev. D **74**, 084016 (2006);
A. Sheykhi, N. Riazi, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 024021 (2007).

[4] C. J. Gao and S. N. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 124019;
Phys. Lett. B605 (2005) 185.

[5] A. Sheykhi, Phys. Lett. B **672**,101 (2009);
A. Sheykhi, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064055 (2008).

[6] A. Sheykhi and S. H. Hendi, Gen. Relativ. Gravit., 42 (2010) 1571; A. Sheykhi, M. H. Dehghani and S. Hendi, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 084040; S. H. Hendi, A. Sheykhi and M H. Dehghani, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 703.

[7] M. Born and L. Infeld, Proc. R. Soc. London A **143**, 410 (1934).

[8] D. L. Wiltshire, Phys. Rev. D 38, 2445 (1988);
H. d Oliveira, Class. Quant. Grav. 11, 1469 (1994).

[9] E. S. Fradkin, A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. B 163, 123 (1985).

[10] A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B 276, 391 (1986).

[11] Mauricio Cataldo, Alberto Garcia, Phys. Lett. B 456, 2833 (1999).

[12] G. W. Gibbons, C. A. R. Herdeiro, Class. Quantum Grav. 18, 1677 (2001).

[13] A. Sheykhi, Phys. Lett. B 662, 7 (2008).

[14] M. H. Dehghani and S. H. Hendi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 16 (2007) 1829; S. H. Hendi, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 064040.

[15] M. H. Dehghani, S. H. Hendi, A. Sheykhi, H. R. Rastegar-Sedehi. JCAP 0702 (2007) 020; S. H. J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 082501.

[16] M. Allahverdizadeh, J. Kunz and F. Navarro-Lerida, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 024030.

[17] M. Allahverdizadeh, J. Kunz and F. Navarro-Lerida, Phys. Rev D 82 (2010) 064034.

[18] Masoud Allaverdizadeh, Jose P. S. Lemos and Ahmad Sheykhi, arXiv:1302.5079.

[19] S. Gunasekaran, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, arXiv:1208.6251.

[20] S. S. Yazadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 044006 (2005).

[21] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **172**, 304 (1986).

[22] J. Kunz, F. Navarro-Lerida and J. Viebahn, Phys. Lett. B 639, 362 (2006).

[23] F. Navarro-Lerida, Gen. Relat. Gravit. 42 (2010) 2891.

[24] S.H. Hendi, Asymptotic Reissner-Nordstrom black holes, Annals of Physics **333** 282-289 (2013).